



ACMAC's PrePrint Repository

Finite element approximations for a linear fourth-order parabolic SPDE in two and three dimensions with additive space-time white noise

Georgios T. Kossioris and Georgios E. Zouraris

Original Citation:

Kossioris, Georgios T. and Zouraris, Georgios E.

(2011)

Finite element approximations for a linear fourth-order parabolic SPDE in two and three dimensions with additive space-time white noise.

(Submitted)

This version is available at: <http://preprints.acmac.uoc.gr/76/>

Available in ACMAC's PrePrint Repository: February 2012

ACMAC's PrePrint Repository aim is to enable open access to the scholarly output of ACMAC.

Finite element approximations for a linear fourth-order parabolic SPDE in two and three space dimensions with additive space-time white noise[☆]

Georgios T. Kossioris^a, Georgios E. Zouraris^{a,*}

^a*Department of Mathematics, University of Crete, GR-714 09 Heraklion, Crete, Greece.*

Abstract

We consider an initial- and Dirichlet boundary- value problem for a linear fourth-order stochastic parabolic equation, in two or three space dimensions, forced by an additive space-time white noise. Discretizing the space-time white noise a modeling error is introduced and a regularized fourth-order linear stochastic parabolic problem is obtained. Fully-discrete approximations to the solution of the regularized problem are constructed by using, for discretization in space, a standard Galerkin finite element method based on H^2 -piecewise polynomials, and, for time-stepping, the Backward Euler method. We derive strong a priori estimates for the modeling error and for the approximation error to the solution of the regularized problem.

Keywords: finite element method, space-time white noise, Backward Euler time-stepping, fully-discrete approximations, a priori error estimates, fourth order parabolic equation, two and three space dimensions

2000 MSC: 65M60, 65M15, 65C20

1. Introduction

1.1. Formulation of the problem

Let $d = 2$ or 3 , $T > 0$, $D = (0, 1)^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a complete probability space. Then we consider an initial- and Dirichlet boundary- value problem for a fourth-order linear stochastic parabolic equation formulated, typically, as follows: find a stochastic function $u : [0, T] \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u + \Delta^2 u &= \dot{W}(t, x) \quad \forall (t, x) \in (0, T] \times D, \\ \Delta^m u(t, \cdot)|_{\partial D} &= 0 \quad \forall t \in (0, T], \quad m = 0, 1, \\ u(0, x) &= 0 \quad \forall x \in D, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

a.s. in Ω , where \dot{W} denotes a space-time white noise on $[0, T] \times D$ (see, e.g., [27], [16]). The stochastic partial differential equation in (1.1) is the linear diffusive part of the stochastic Cahn-Hilliard equation (cf. [5], [10]) which was introduced for the investigation of phase separation in spinodal decomposition (see, e.g., [6], [17], [12]).

The mild solution of the problem above (cf. [5], [10]), known as ‘stochastic convolution’, is given by

$$u(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_D G(t-s; x, y) dW(s, y). \tag{1.2}$$

[☆]Partially supported by the FP7-REGPOT-2009-1 project ‘Archimedes Center for Modeling, Analysis and Computation’

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: kossioris@math.uoc.gr (Georgios T. Kossioris), zouraris@math.uoc.gr (Georgios E. Zouraris)

Here, $G(t; x, y)$ is the space-time Green kernel of the corresponding deterministic parabolic problem: find a deterministic function $w : [0, T] \times \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w + \Delta^2 w &= 0 \quad \forall (t, x) \in (0, T] \times D, \\ \Delta^m w(t, \cdot)|_{\partial D} &= 0 \quad \forall t \in (0, T], \quad m = 0, 1, \\ w(0, x) &= w_0(x) \quad \forall x \in D, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where w_0 is a deterministic initial condition. In particular, we have

$$w(t, x) = \int_D G(t; x, y) w_0(y) dy \quad \forall (t, x) \in (0, T] \times \bar{D}$$

and

$$G(t; x, y) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 t} \varepsilon_\alpha(x) \varepsilon_\alpha(y) \quad \forall (t, x, y) \in (0, T] \times \bar{D} \times \bar{D}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\lambda_\alpha := \pi^2 |\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}^2$, $|\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d} := \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\varepsilon_\alpha(z) := 2^{\frac{d}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^d \sin(\alpha_i \pi z_i)$ for all $z \in \bar{D}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$.

1.2. The regularized problem

Extending the approach proposed in [1] for a second order one-dimensional linear stochastic parabolic equation with additive space-time white noise, we construct below an approximate initial and boundary value problem:

For $N_\star, J_\star \in \mathbb{N}$, define the mesh-lengths $\Delta t := \frac{T}{N_\star}$, $\Delta x := \frac{1}{J_\star}$, and the nodes $t_n := n \Delta t$ for $n = 0, \dots, N_\star$ and $x_j := j \Delta x$ for $j = 0, \dots, J_\star$. Then, we define the sets $\mathcal{N}_\star := \{1, \dots, N_\star\}$, $\mathcal{J}_\star := \{1, \dots, J_\star\}$, $T_n := (t_{n-1}, t_n)$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}_\star$, $D_j := (x_{j-1}, x_j)$ for $j \in \mathcal{J}_\star$, $D_\mu := \prod_{i=1}^d D_{\mu_i}$ for $\mu \in \mathcal{J}_\star^d$, and $S_{n,\mu} := T_n \times D_\mu$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}_\star$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{J}_\star^d$. Next, consider the fourth-order linear stochastic parabolic problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \widehat{u} + \Delta^2 \widehat{u} &= \widehat{W} \quad \text{in } (0, T] \times D, \\ \Delta^m \widehat{u}(t, \cdot)|_{\partial D} &= 0 \quad \forall t \in (0, T], \quad m = 0, 1, \\ \widehat{u}(0, x) &= 0 \quad \forall x \in D, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

a.e. in Ω , where

$$\widehat{W}(t, x) := \frac{1}{\Delta t (\Delta x)^d} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_\star} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_\star^d} \mathcal{X}_{S_{n,\mu}}(t, x) R^{n,\mu} \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \bar{D},$$

$$R^{n,\mu} := \int_{S_{n,\mu}} 1 dW \quad , \forall n \in \mathcal{N}_\star, \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{J}_\star^d,$$

and \mathcal{X}_S is the index function of $S \subset [0, T] \times \bar{D}$.

The solution of the problem (1.5), according to the standard theory for parabolic problems (see, e.g. [22]), has the integral representation

$$\widehat{u}(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_D G(t-s; x, y) \widehat{W}(s, y) ds dy \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \bar{D}. \tag{1.6}$$

Remark 1. The properties of the stochastic integral (see, e.g., [27]), yield that $R^{n,\mu} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Delta t (\Delta x)^d)$ for all $(n, \mu) \in \mathcal{N}_\star \times \mathcal{J}_\star^d$. Also, we observe that $\mathbb{E}[R^{n,\mu} R^{n',\mu'}] = 0$ for $(n, \mu) \neq (n', \mu')$. Thus, the random variables $(R^{n,\mu})_{(n,\mu) \in \mathcal{N}_\star \times \mathcal{J}_\star^d}$ are independent.

1.3. The numerical approximations

In order to construct fully-discrete approximations to \widehat{u} , we let $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\tau_m)_{m=0}^M$ be the nodes of a uniform partition of $[0, T]$ with stepsize $\Delta\tau$, i.e. $\tau_m := m \Delta\tau$ for $m = 0, \dots, M$, and define $\Delta_m := (\tau_{m-1}, \tau_m)$ for $m = 1, \dots, M$. Also, we let $M_h \subset H_0^1(D) \cap H^2(D)$ be a finite element space consisting of functions which are piecewise polynomials over a partition of D in triangles or rectangulars with maximum diameter h , and define a discrete biharmonic operator $B_h : M_h \rightarrow M_h$ by

$$\int_D B_h \varphi \chi \, dx = \int_D \Delta \varphi \Delta \chi \, dx, \quad \forall \varphi, \chi \in M_h,$$

and the usual $L^2(D)$ -projection operator $P_h : L^2(D) \rightarrow M_h$ by

$$\int_D P_h f \chi \, dx = \int_D f \chi \, dx, \quad \forall \chi \in M_h, \quad \forall f \in L^2(D).$$

The approximations to \widehat{u} we consider follow by employing the Backward Euler finite element method which begins by setting

$$\widehat{U}_h^0 := 0, \tag{1.7}$$

and, then for $m = 1, \dots, M$, finds $\widehat{U}_h^m \in M_h$ such that

$$\widehat{U}_h^m - \widehat{U}_h^{m-1} + \Delta\tau B_h \widehat{U}_h^m = \int_{\Delta_m} P_h \widehat{W} \, ds. \tag{1.8}$$

1.4. Main results of the paper

In the rest of the paper we investigate the convergence of the fully discrete approximations to the solution \widehat{u} of (1.5) to the mild solution u of (1.1). That error of approximating u splits in two parts: the *modeling error* which is the error of approximating u by \widehat{u} , and the *numerical approximation error* which is the error of approximating \widehat{u} by the numerical method defined in (1.7)–(1.8).

An $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ estimate of the modeling error is achieved, in Theorem 5, by obtaining the bound

$$\max_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \int_\Omega \left(\int_D |u(t, x) - \widehat{u}(t, x)|^2 \, dx \right) dP \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left[\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Delta x^{\frac{4-d}{2} - \epsilon} + \Delta t^{\frac{4-d}{8}} \right], \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \frac{4-d}{2}],$$

without imposing conditions on Δt and Δx as happens in [1] and [2]. For the numerical approximation error, we derive, in Theorem 11, the following discrete in time $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ estimate:

$$\max_{0 \leq m \leq M} \left\{ \int_\Omega \left(\int_D |\widehat{U}_h^m(x) - \widehat{u}(\tau_m, x)|^2 \, dx \right) dP \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left[\epsilon_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Delta\tau^{\frac{4-d}{8} - \epsilon_1} + \epsilon_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} h^{\nu_\star - \epsilon_2} \right], \tag{1.9}$$

for $\epsilon_1 \in (0, \frac{4-d}{8}]$ and $\epsilon_2 \in (0, \nu_\star]$, where $\nu_\star = \nu_\star(r, d)$ is given in (5.26) and depends on the space dimension d and a parameter $r \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ which is related to the approximation properties of the finite element spaces M_h (see (2.19)). To get the estimate (1.9), first we introduce the Backward-Euler time-discrete approximations of \widehat{u} and analyze their convergence in the discrete in time $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ norm above (see Theorem 7); then, we derive an estimate for the error of approximating the Backward-Euler time-discrete approximations of \widehat{u} by the Backward-Euler fully-discrete approximation of \widehat{u} (see Proposition 10). This procedure allows us to estimate separately the space and the time discretization error in contrast to the technique used in [26] and [2] for second order problems.

For approximation methods for fourth-order stochastic parabolic problems driven by a space-time white noise, we refer the reader: to [4] which considers a finite difference method for the stochastic Cahn-Hilliard equation, and to [24], [14] and [15] which consider time-stepping methods for a wide family of evolution problems that includes (1.1), while the finite element method is not among the space-discretization techniques considered in [14] and [15]. Our previous paper [20] analyzes Backward Euler finite element approximations for the 1D space dimensional case where the space regularity of the solution

is higher and thus a different regularized problem is proposed as a basis for developing the numerical method. We also refer to [21] for the analysis of a Backward Euler finite element method for problem (1.1), where the biharmonic operator Δ^2 is discretized by Δ_h^2 , Δ_h being the discrete Laplacian operator (see, e.g., [25]). In the present paper we use the discrete operator B_h for the discretization of the biharmonic operator which is different from Δ_h^2 . Also, we refer the reader to [8], [1], [18], [26], [28] and [2] for the analysis of the finite element method for second order stochastic parabolic problems.

We close the section by an overview of the paper. Section 2 introduces notation, and recalls or prove several results often used in the paper. Section 3 is dedicated to the estimation of the modeling error. Section 4 defines the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations of \hat{u} and analyzes its convergence. Section 5 contains the error analysis for the Backward Euler fully-discrete approximations of \hat{u} .

2. Notation and preliminaries

2.1. Function spaces and operators

We denote by $L^2(D)$ the space of the Lebesgue measurable functions which are square integrable on D with respect to Lebesgue's measure dx , provided with the standard norm $\|g\|_{0,D} := \{\int_D |g(x)|^2 dx\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $g \in L^2(D)$. The standard inner product in $L^2(D)$ that produces the norm $\|\cdot\|_{0,D}$ is written as $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0,D}$, i.e., $(g_1, g_2)_{0,D} := \int_D g_1(x)g_2(x) dx$ for $g_1, g_2 \in L^2(D)$. For $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $H^s(D)$ will be the Sobolev space of functions having generalized derivatives up to order s in the space $L^2(D)$, and by $\|\cdot\|_{s,D}$ its usual norm, i.e. $\|g\|_{s,D} := \{\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d} \leq s} \|\partial_x^\alpha g\|_{0,D}^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $g \in H^s(D)$. Also, by $H_0^1(D)$ we denote the subspace of $H^1(D)$ consisting of functions which vanish at the boundary ∂D of D in the sense of trace. We note that in $H_0^1(D)$ the, well-known, Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality holds, i.e.,

$$\|g\|_{0,D} \leq C_{PF} \|\nabla g\|_{0,D} \quad \forall g \in H_0^1(D), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\|\nabla v\|_{0,D} := \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}=1} \|\partial_x^\alpha v\|_{0,D}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $v \in H^1(D)$.

The sequence of pairs $\{(\lambda_\alpha, \varepsilon_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ is a solution to the eigenvalue/eigenfunction problem: find nonzero $\varphi \in H^2(D) \cap H_0^1(D)$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $-\Delta\varphi = \sigma\varphi$ in D . Since $(\varepsilon_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ is a complete $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0,D}$ -orthonormal system in $L^2(D)$, for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, a subspace $\dot{\mathbf{H}}^s(D)$ of $L^2(D)$ (see [25]) is defined by

$$\dot{\mathbf{H}}^s(D) := \left\{ v \in L^2(D) : \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \lambda_\alpha^s (v, \varepsilon_\alpha)_{0,D}^2 < \infty \right\}$$

and provided with the norm $\|v\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^s} := \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \lambda_\alpha^s (v, \varepsilon_\alpha)_{0,D}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall v \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^s(D)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. It is well-known (see [25]) that

$$\dot{\mathbf{H}}^m(D) = \left\{ v \in H^m(D) : \Delta^i v|_{\partial D} = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 \leq i < \frac{m}{2} \right\} \quad (2.2)$$

and there exist constants $C_{m,A}$ and $C_{m,B}$ such that

$$C_{m,A} \|v\|_{m,D} \leq \|v\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^m} \leq C_{m,B} \|v\|_{m,D} \quad \forall v \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^m(D). \quad (2.3)$$

Also, we define on $L^2(D)$ the negative norm $\|\cdot\|_{-m,D}$ by

$$\|v\|_{-m,D} := \sup \left\{ \frac{(v, \varphi)_{0,D}}{\|\varphi\|_{m,D}} : \varphi \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^m(D) \text{ and } \varphi \neq 0 \right\} \quad \forall v \in L^2(D),$$

for which, using (2.3), it is easy to conclude that there exists a constant $C_{-m} > 0$ such that

$$\|v\|_{-m,D} \leq C_{-m} \|v\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{-m}} \quad \forall v \in L^2(D). \quad (2.4)$$

Let $\mathbb{L}_2 = (L^2(D), (\cdot, \cdot)_{0,D})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}_2)$ be the space of linear, bounded operators from \mathbb{L}_2 to \mathbb{L}_2 . We say that, an operator $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}_2)$ is *Hilbert-Schmidt*, when $\|\Gamma\|_{\text{HS}} := (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\Gamma \varepsilon_k\|_{0,D}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < +\infty$, where $\|\Gamma\|_{\text{HS}}$ is the so called Hilbert-Schmidt norm of Γ . We note that the quantity $\|\Gamma\|_{\text{HS}}$ does not change when we replace $(\varepsilon_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ by another complete orthonormal system of \mathbb{L}_2 . It is well known (see, e.g., [11]) that an operator $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}_2)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt iff there exists a measurable function $g : D \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Gamma[v](\cdot) = \int_D g(\cdot, y) v(y) dy$ for $v \in L^2(D)$, and then, it holds that

$$\|\Gamma\|_{\text{HS}} = \left(\int_D \int_D g^2(x, y) dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\text{HS}}(\mathbb{L}_2)$ be the set of Hilbert Schmidt operators of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}_2)$ and $\Phi : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{HS}}(\mathbb{L}_2)$. Also, for a random variable X , let $\mathbb{E}[X]$ be its expected value, i.e., $\mathbb{E}[X] := \int_{\Omega} X dP$. Then, the Itô isometry property for stochastic integrals, which we will use often in the paper, reads

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \int_0^T \Phi dW \right\|_{0,D}^2 \right] = \int_0^T \|\Phi(t)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 dt. \quad (2.6)$$

For later use, we introduce the projection operator $\widehat{\Pi} : L^2((0, T) \times D) \rightarrow L^2((0, T) \times D)$ defined by

$$\widehat{\Pi}(g; \cdot) |_{s_{n,\mu}} := \frac{1}{\Delta t \Delta x^d} \int_{s_{n,\mu}} g(t, x) dt dx, \quad \forall n \in \mathcal{N}_*, \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d, \quad (2.7)$$

for $g \in L^2((0, T) \times D)$, which obviously satisfies that

$$\left(\int_0^T \int_D (\widehat{\Pi}g)^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\int_0^T \int_D g^2 dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall g \in L^2((0, T) \times D). \quad (2.8)$$

and has the following property:

Lemma 1. *For $g \in L^2((0, T) \times D)$, it holds that*

$$\int_0^T \int_D \widehat{\Pi}(g; s, y) dW(s, y) = \int_0^T \int_D \widehat{W}(t, x) g(t, x) dt dx. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. To obtain (2.9) we work, using (2.7) and the properties of W , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_D \widehat{\Pi}(g; s, y) dW(s, y) &= \frac{1}{\Delta t (\Delta x)^d} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_*} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d} \left(\int_{s_{n,\mu}} g dt dx \right) \left(\int_0^T \int_D \mathcal{X}_{s_{n,\mu}}(s, y) dW(s, y) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta t (\Delta x)^d} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_*} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d} \left(\int_{s_{n,\mu}} g(t, x) dt dx \right) R^{n,\mu} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta t (\Delta x)^d} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_*} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d} \int_0^T \int_D g(t, x) \mathcal{X}_{s_{n,\mu}}(t, x) R^{n,\mu} dt dx \\ &= \int_0^T \int_D g(t, x) \widehat{W}(t, x) dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

□

We close this section, by stating some asymptotic bounds for series that will often appear in the rest of the paper and for a proof of them we refer the reader to [19].

Lemma 2. *Let $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $c_* > 0$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ that depends on c_* and d , such that*

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} |\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}^{-(d+c_*\epsilon)} \leq C \epsilon^{-1} \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, 2]. \quad (2.10)$$

Lemma 3. *Let $d \in \{2, 3\}$ and $\delta > 0$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ which is independent of δ , such that*

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 \delta}}{\lambda_\alpha^2} \leq C p_d(\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}) \delta^{\frac{4-d}{4}}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $p_d(s) := 1 + \sum_{i=1}^d s^i$.

2.2. Linear elliptic and parabolic operators

For given $f \in L^2(D)$ let $v_E \in H^2(D) \cap H_0^1(D)$ be the solution of the boundary value problem

$$\Delta v_E = f \quad \text{in } D, \quad (2.12)$$

and $T_E : L^2(D) \rightarrow H^2(D) \cap H_0^1(D)$ be its solution operator, i.e. $T_E f := v_E$, which has the property

$$\|T_E f\|_{m,D} \leq C_{E,m} \|f\|_{m-2,D}, \quad \forall f \in H^{\max\{0, m-2\}}(D), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (2.13)$$

Also, for $f \in L^2(D)$ let $v_B \in H^4(D)$ be the solution of the following biharmonic boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^2 v_B &= f \quad \text{in } D, \\ \Delta^m v_B|_{\partial D} &= 0, \quad m = 0, 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

and $T_B : L^2(D) \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{H}}^4(D)$ be the solution operator of (2.14), i.e. $T_B f := v_B$, which satisfies

$$\|T_B f\|_{m,D} \leq C_{B,m} \|f\|_{m-4,D}, \quad \forall f \in H^{\max\{0, m-4\}}(D), \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (2.15)$$

Due to the type of boundary conditions of (2.14), we conclude that

$$T_B f = T_E^2 f, \quad \forall f \in L^2(D), \quad (2.16)$$

which, easily, yields

$$(T_B v_1, v_2)_{0,D} = (T_E v_1, T_E v_2)_{0,D} \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in L^2(D). \quad (2.17)$$

Letting $(\mathcal{S}(t)w_0)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be the standard semigroup notation for the solution w of (1.3), we can easily establish the following property (see, e.g., [25], [23]): for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\beta, p \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$ and $q \in [0, p + 4\ell]$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that:

$$\int_{t_a}^{t_b} (t - t_a)^\beta \|\partial_t^\ell \mathcal{S}(t)w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^p}^2 dt \leq C \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{p+4\ell-2\beta-2}}^2 \quad \forall t_b > t_a \geq 0, \quad \forall w_0 \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^{p+4\ell-2\beta-2}(D). \quad (2.18)$$

2.3. Discrete spaces and operators

For $r \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, we consider a finite element space $M_h \subset H_0^1(D) \cap H^2(D)$ consisting of functions which are piecewise polynomials over a partition of D in triangles or rectangles with maximum mesh-length h . We assume that the space M_h has the following approximation property

$$\inf_{\chi \in M_h} \|v - \chi\|_{2,D} \leq C h^{r-1} \|v\|_{r+1,D} \quad \forall v \in H^{r+1}(D) \cap H_0^1(D), \quad (2.19)$$

which covers several classes of H^2 finite element spaces, for example the tensor products of C^1 splines, the Argyris triangle elements, the Hsieh-Clough-Tocher triangle elements and the Bell triangle (cf. [7], [3]).

A finite element approximation $v_{B,h} \in M_h$ of the solution v_B of (2.14) is defined by the requirement

$$B_h v_{B,h} = P_h f. \quad (2.20)$$

Then, we denote by $T_{B,h} : L^2(D) \rightarrow M_h$ the solution operator of (2.20), i.e. $T_{B,h}f := v_{B,h} = B_h^{-1}P_h f$ for $f \in L^2(D)$, which satisfies that

$$(T_{B,h}f, g)_{0,D} = (\Delta T_{B,h}f, \Delta T_{B,h}g)_{0,D} = (f, T_{B,h}g)_{0,D} \quad \forall f, g \in L^2(D), \quad (2.21)$$

Also, using (2.20), (2.14) and (2.15) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta T_{B,h}f\|_{0,D} &\leq \|\Delta T_B f\|_{0,D} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{-2,D} \quad \forall f \in L^2(D). \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Applying the standard theory of the finite element method (see, e.g., [7], [3]) and using (2.15), we get

$$\|\Delta(T_B f - T_{B,h}f)\|_{0,D} \leq C h^{r-1} \|f\|_{r-3,D}, \quad \forall f \in H^{\max\{r-3,0\}}(D), \quad (2.23)$$

while error estimates in the $L^2(D)$ norm are obtained in the proposition below.

Proposition 4. *Let $r \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. Then, it holds that:*

$$\|T_B f - T_{B,h}f\|_{0,D} \leq C \begin{cases} h^5 \|f\|_{1,D}, & r = 4 \\ h^4 \|f\|_{0,D}, & r = 3, \\ h^2 \|f\|_{-1,D}, & r = 2, \end{cases} \quad \forall f \in H^{\max\{r-3,0\}}(D). \quad (2.24)$$

Proof. Let $f \in H^{\max\{0,r-3\}}(D)$ and $e = T_B f - T_{B,h}f$. Also, we define a bilinear form $\gamma : H^2(D) \times H^2(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\gamma(v_1, v_2) := (\Delta v_1, \Delta v_2)_{0,D}$ for $v_1, v_2 \in H^2(D)$. Now, let $w_A, w_B \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^4(D)$ be defined by $T_B \Delta e = w_A$ and $T_B e = w_B$. Then, using Galerkin orthogonality, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla e\|_{0,D}^2 &= -\gamma(w_A, e)_{0,D} \\ &\leq \|\Delta e\|_{0,D} \inf_{\chi \in M_h} \|w_A - \chi\|_{2,D} \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|e\|_{0,D}^2 &= \gamma(w_B, e)_{0,D} \\ &\leq \|\Delta e\|_{0,D} \inf_{\chi \in M_h} \|w_B - \chi\|_{2,D}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Case 1: Let $r \in \{2, 3\}$. Then, using (2.26), (2.23), (2.19) and (2.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e\|_{0,D}^2 &\leq C h^{r-1} \|f\|_{r-3,D} h^{r-1} \|w_B\|_{r+1,D} \\ &\leq C h^{2(r-1)} \|f\|_{r-3,D} \|e\|_{r-3,D} \end{aligned}$$

which, obviously, yields (2.24).

Case 2: Let $r = 4$. Then, combining, (2.26), (2.19), (2.15) and (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|e\|_{0,D}^2 &\leq C \|\Delta e\|_{0,D} h^3 \|T_B e\|_{5,D} \\ &\leq C \|\Delta e\|_{0,D} h^3 \|e\|_{1,D} \\ &\leq C \|\Delta e\|_{0,D} h^3 \|\nabla e\|_{0,D}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

Also, we observe that (2.25) and (2.15) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla e\|_{0,D} &\leq \|\Delta e\|_{0,D}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta(T_B \Delta e)\|_{0,D}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|\Delta e\|_{0,D}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|e\|_{0,D}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Now, we combine (2.27), (2.28) and (2.23) to have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e\|_{0,D}^{\frac{3}{2}} &\leq C h^3 \|\Delta e\|_{0,D}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq C h^{\frac{15}{2}} \|f\|_{1,D}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which obviously leads to (2.24) for $r = 4$. \square

Remark 2. In the estimate (2.24) we observe that the order of convergence is equal to $r + 1$ except in the case $r = 2$. Note that this is not in contradiction to the results in [13] where only the case $r \geq 3$ is considered.

3. An estimate for the modeling error

Here, we derive an $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ bound for the modeling error $u - \hat{u}$, in terms of Δt and Δx .

Theorem 5. *Let u and \hat{u} be defined, respectively, by (1.2) and (1.6). Then, there exists a real constant $C > 0$, independent of T , Δt and Δx , such that*

$$\max_{[0, T]} \{ \mathbb{E} [\|u - \hat{u}\|_{0, D}^2] \}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left[(p_d(\Delta t^{\frac{1}{4}}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta t^{\frac{4-d}{8}} + \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Delta x^{\frac{4-d}{2} - \epsilon} \right] \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \frac{4-d}{2}], \quad (3.1)$$

where p_d is the polynomial defined in Lemma 3.

Proof. Using (1.2) and (1.6), we conclude that

$$u(t, x) - \hat{u}(t, x) = \int_0^T \int_D [\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s) G(t-s; x, y) - \tilde{G}(t, x; s, y)] dW(s, y) \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \bar{D}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\tilde{G} : (0, T) \times D \rightarrow L^2((0, T) \times D)$ given by

$$\tilde{G}(t, x; \cdot) \Big|_{S_{n, \mu}} \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta t (\Delta x)^d} \int_{S_{n, \mu}} \mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s') G(t-s'; x, y') ds' dy' \quad (3.3)$$

for $n \in \mathcal{N}_*$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d$.

Let $\Theta := (\mathbb{E} [\|u - \hat{u}\|_{0, D}^2])^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $t \in (0, T)$. Using (3.2), the Itô isometry (2.6) and (2.5), we obtain

$$\Theta^2(t) = \int_0^T \left(\int_D \int_D [\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s) G(t-s; x, y) - \tilde{G}(t, x; s, y)]^2 dx dy \right) ds$$

from which, using (3.3), follows that

$$\Theta(t) = \frac{1}{\Delta t (\Delta x)^d} \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_*} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d} \int_D \left\{ \int_{S_{n, \mu}} \left[\int_{S_{n, \mu}} [\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s) G(t-s; x, y) - \mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s') G(t-s'; x, y')] ds' dy' \right]^2 ds dy \right\} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now, we introduce the splitting

$$\Theta(t) \leq \Theta_A(t) + \Theta_B(t), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\Theta_A(t) := \frac{1}{\Delta t (\Delta x)^d} \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_*} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d} \int_D \left\{ \int_{S_{n, \mu}} \left[\int_{S_{n, \mu}} \mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s) [G(t-s; x, y) - G(t-s; x, y')] ds' dy' \right]^2 ds dy \right\} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$\Theta_B(t) = \frac{1}{\Delta t (\Delta x)^d} \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_*} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d} \int_D \left\{ \int_{S_{n, \mu}} \left[\int_{S_{n, \mu}} [\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s) G(t-s; x, y') - \mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s') G(t-s'; x, y')] ds' dy' \right]^2 ds dy \right\} dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Estimation of $\Theta_A(t)$: Using (1.4) and the $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0,D}$ -orthogonality of $(\varepsilon_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_A^2(t) &= \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^{2d}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_*} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d} \int_D \left\{ \int_{S_{n,\mu}} \left[\int_{D_\mu} \mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s) \left[G(t-s; x, y) - G(t-s; x, y') \right] dy' \right]^2 ds dy \right\} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^{2d}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_*} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d} \left\{ \int_{S_{n,\mu}} \left[\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s) e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)} \left(\int_{D_\mu} (\varepsilon_\alpha(y) - \varepsilon_\alpha(y')) dy' \right)^2 \right] ds dy \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^{2d}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_*} \int_{T_n} \mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s) e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)} ds \right\} \left\{ \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d} \int_{D_\mu} \left(\int_{D_\mu} (\varepsilon_\alpha(y) - \varepsilon_\alpha(y')) dy' \right)^2 dy \right\}, \\
&= \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^{2d}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left\{ \int_0^t e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)} ds \right\} \left\{ \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d} \int_{D_\mu} \left(\int_{D_\mu} (\varepsilon_\alpha(y) - \varepsilon_\alpha(y')) dy' \right)^2 dy \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

from which, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, follows that

$$\Theta_A^2(t) \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left(\int_0^t e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)} ds \right) \left[\frac{1}{(\Delta x)^d} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d} \int_{D_\mu \times D_\mu} |\varepsilon_\alpha(y) - \varepsilon_\alpha(y')|^2 dy' dy \right]. \quad (3.5)$$

Observing that $\int_0^t e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)} ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_\alpha^{-2}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, and that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{y, y' \in D_\mu} |\varepsilon_\alpha(y) - \varepsilon_\alpha(y')| &\leq 2^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\pi}{2} d^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta x |\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d} \right\} \\
&\leq 2^{\frac{d}{2}+1-\gamma} \pi^\gamma d^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \Delta x^\gamma |\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}^\gamma, \quad \forall \gamma \in [0, 1], \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d, \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d,
\end{aligned}$$

(3.5) yields

$$\Theta_A^2(t) \leq 2^{d+1-2\gamma} d^\gamma \pi^{2\gamma-4} (\Delta x)^{2\gamma} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{|\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}^{2(2-\gamma)}}. \quad (3.6)$$

The series in (3.6) converges when $2(2-\gamma) > d$ or equivalently $\gamma < \frac{4-d}{2}$. Thus, combining (3.6) and (2.10), we, finally, conclude that

$$\Theta_A(t) \leq C \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Delta x^{\frac{4-d}{2}-\epsilon} \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \frac{4-d}{2}]. \quad (3.7)$$

Estimation of $\Theta_B(t)$: For $t \in (0, T]$, let $\widehat{N}(t) := \min \{ \ell \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq \ell \leq N_* \text{ and } t \leq t_\ell \}$ and

$$\widehat{T}_n(t) := T_n \cap (0, t) = \begin{cases} T_n, & \text{if } n < \widehat{N}(t) \\ (t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}, t), & \text{if } n = \widehat{N}(t) \end{cases}, \quad n = 1, \dots, \widehat{N}(t).$$

Now, we use (1.4) and the $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0,D}$ -orthogonality of $(\varepsilon_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_B^2(t) &= \frac{(\Delta x)^d}{(\Delta t (\Delta x)^d)^2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_*} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d} \int_D \left\{ \int_{T_n} \left[\int_{S_{n,\mu}} \left[\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s) G(t-s; x, y') \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. - \mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s') G(t-s'; x, y') \right] ds' dy' \right]^2 ds \right\} dx \\
&= \frac{(\Delta x)^d}{(\Delta t (\Delta x)^d)^2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left[\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_*^d} \left(\int_{D_\mu} \varepsilon_\alpha(y') dy' \right)^2 \right] \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\widehat{N}(t)} \int_{T_n} \left(\int_{T_n} \left(\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s) e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)} \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. - \mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s') e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s')} \right) ds' \right)^2 ds \right]
\end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\Theta_B^2(t) \leq 2^d \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left(\frac{1}{(\Delta t)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\widehat{N}(t)} \Psi_n^\alpha(t) \right), \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\Psi_n^\alpha(t) := \int_{T_n} \left(\int_{T_n} \left(\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s) e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)} - \mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s') e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s')} \right) ds' \right)^2 ds.$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $n \in \{1, \dots, \widehat{N}(t) - 1\}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n^\alpha(t) &= \int_{T_n} \left(\int_{T_n} \int_s^{s'} \lambda_\alpha^2 e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-\tau)} d\tau ds' \right)^2 ds \\ &\leq \int_{T_n} \left(\int_{T_n} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{\max\{s', s\}} \lambda_\alpha^2 e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-\tau)} d\tau ds' \right)^2 ds \\ &\leq 2 \int_{T_n} \left(\int_{T_n} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{s'} \lambda_\alpha^2 e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-\tau)} d\tau ds' \right)^2 ds + 2 \int_{T_n} \left(\int_{T_n} \int_{t_{n-1}}^s \lambda_\alpha^2 e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-\tau)} d\tau ds' \right)^2 ds \\ &\leq 2 \Delta t \left(\int_{T_n} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{s'} \lambda_\alpha^2 e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-\tau)} d\tau ds' \right)^2 + 2 (\Delta t)^2 \int_{T_n} \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^s \lambda_\alpha^2 e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-\tau)} d\tau \right)^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

from which, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, follows that

$$\Psi_n^\alpha(t) \leq 4 (\Delta t)^2 \int_{T_n} \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^s \lambda_\alpha^2 e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-\tau)} d\tau \right)^2 ds.$$

Now, observing that $\lambda_\alpha^2 e^{\lambda_\alpha^2(\tau-t)} = \partial_\tau \left(e^{\lambda_\alpha^2(\tau-t)} \right)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n^\alpha(t) &\leq 4 (\Delta t)^2 \int_{T_n} \left(e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)} - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-t_{n-1})} \right)^2 ds \\ &\leq 4 (\Delta t)^2 (1 - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta t})^2 \int_{T_n} e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)} ds \\ &\leq 2 (\Delta t)^2 (1 - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta t})^2 \frac{e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(t-t_n)} - e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(t-t_{n-1})}}{\lambda_\alpha^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by summing with respect to n , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(\Delta t)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\widehat{N}(t)-1} \Psi_n^\alpha(t) \leq 2 \frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta t})^2}{\lambda_\alpha^2}. \quad (3.9)$$

Considering, now, the case $n = \widehat{N}(t)$, we have

$$\Psi_{\widehat{N}(t)}^\alpha(t) = \Psi_A^\alpha(t) + \Psi_B^\alpha(t) \quad (3.10)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_A^\alpha(t) &:= \int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^t \left(\int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^t \int_{s'}^s \lambda_\alpha^2 e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-\tau)} d\tau ds' + \int_t^{t_{\widehat{N}(t)}} e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)} ds' \right)^2 ds \\ \Psi_B^\alpha(t) &:= \int_t^{t_{\widehat{N}(t)}} \left(\int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^t e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s')} ds' \right)^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_B^\alpha(t) &\leq \frac{\Delta t}{\lambda_\alpha^4} \left[1 - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 (t-t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1})} \right]^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta t}{\lambda_\alpha^4} (1 - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta t})^2\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_A^\alpha(t) &\leq \int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^t \left[\int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^t \int_{s'}^s \lambda_\alpha^2 e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 (t-\tau)} d\tau ds' + \Delta t e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 (t-s)} \right]^2 ds \\ &\leq 2 \int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^t \left[\int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^t \int_{s'}^s \lambda_\alpha^2 e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 (t-\tau)} d\tau ds' \right]^2 ds + \frac{(\Delta t)^2}{\lambda_\alpha^2} \left[1 - e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2 (t-t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1})} \right] \\ &\leq 2 \int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^t \left[\int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^t \int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^{\max\{s,s'\}} \lambda_\alpha^2 e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 (t-\tau)} d\tau ds' \right]^2 ds + \frac{(\Delta t)^2}{\lambda_\alpha^2} (1 - e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta t}) \\ &\leq 8 (\Delta t)^2 \int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^t \left[\int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^s \lambda_\alpha^2 e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 (t-\tau)} d\tau \right]^2 ds + \frac{(\Delta t)^2}{\lambda_\alpha^2} (1 - e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta t}) \\ &\leq 8 (\Delta t)^2 \int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^t \left[e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 (t-s)} - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 (t-t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1})} \right]^2 ds + \frac{(\Delta t)^2}{\lambda_\alpha^2} (1 - e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta t}),\end{aligned}$$

which, along with (3.10), gives

$$\Psi_{\widehat{N}(t)}^\alpha \leq 5 \frac{(\Delta t)^2}{\lambda_\alpha^2} (1 - e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta t}) + \frac{\Delta t}{\lambda_\alpha^4} (1 - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta t})^2.$$

Since the mean value theorem yields: $1 - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta t} \leq \lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta t$, the above inequality takes the form

$$\frac{1}{(\Delta t)^2} \Psi_{\widehat{N}(t)}^\alpha \leq 6 \frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta t}}{\lambda_\alpha^2}. \quad (3.11)$$

Combining (3.8), (3.9) and (3.11) we obtain

$$\Theta_B^2(t) \leq 8 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta t}}{\lambda_\alpha^2}. \quad (3.12)$$

Now, combine (3.12) and (2.11) to arrive at

$$\Theta_B(t) \leq C (p_d(\Delta t^{\frac{1}{4}}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta t^{\frac{4-d}{8}}. \quad (3.13)$$

The error bound (3.1) follows by observing that $\Theta(0) = 0$ and combining the bounds (3.4), (3.7) and (3.13). \square

4. Time-discrete approximations

The Backward Euler time-discrete approximations to the solution $\widehat{u}(\tau_m, \cdot)$ of the problem (1.5) are defined as follows: first, set

$$\widehat{U}^0 := 0, \quad (4.1)$$

and then, for $m = 1, \dots, M$, find $\widehat{U}^m \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^4(D)$ such that

$$\widehat{U}^m - \widehat{U}^{m-1} + \Delta \tau \Delta^2 \widehat{U}^m = \int_{\Delta_m} \widehat{W} ds \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (4.2)$$

To develop an error estimate in a discrete in time $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ norm for the above time-discrete approximations, we need an error estimate in a discrete in time $L_t^2(L_x^2)$ norm for the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations, $(W^m)_{m=0}^M$, of the solution w to the deterministic problem (1.3), specified by setting

$$W^0 := w_0, \quad (4.3)$$

and then, for $m = 1, \dots, M$, by finding $W^m \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^4(D)$ such that

$$W^m - W^{m-1} + \Delta\tau \Delta^2 W^m = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Proposition 6. *Let $(W^m)_{m=0}^M$ be the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations of the solution w of the problem (1.3) defined in (4.3)–(4.4). If $w_0 \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^2(D)$, then, there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of T and $\Delta\tau$, such that*

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau \|W^m - w(\tau_m, \cdot)\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C (\Delta\tau)^\theta \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{4\theta-2}} \quad \forall \theta \in [0, 1]. \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. It is analogous to the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [20], and thus is omitted. \square

Theorem 7. *Let \hat{u} be the solution of (1.5) and $(\hat{U}^m)_{m=0}^M$ be the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations specified in (4.1)–(4.2). Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of T , Δt , Δx and $\Delta\tau$, such that*

$$\max_{1 \leq m \leq M} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\|\hat{U}^m - \hat{u}(\tau_m, \cdot)\|_{0,D}^2 \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \tilde{\omega}(\Delta\tau, \epsilon) \Delta\tau^{\frac{4-d}{8}-\epsilon}, \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \frac{4-d}{8}], \quad (4.6)$$

where $\tilde{\omega}(\Delta\tau, \epsilon) := [\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (\Delta\tau)^\epsilon (p_d(\Delta\tau^{\frac{1}{4}}))^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ and p_d is the polynomial defined in Lemma 3.

Proof. Let $I : L^2(D) \rightarrow L^2(D)$ be the identity operator, $\Lambda : L^2(D) \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{H}}^4(D)$ be the inverse elliptic operator $\Lambda := (I + \Delta\tau \Delta^2)^{-1}$ which has Green function $G_\Lambda(x, y) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\varepsilon_\alpha(x) \varepsilon_\alpha(y)}{1 + \Delta\tau \lambda_\alpha^2}$, i.e. $\Lambda f(x) = \int_D G_\Lambda(x, y) f(y) dy$ for $x \in \bar{D}$ and $f \in L^2(D)$. Obviously, $G_\Lambda(x, y) = G_\Lambda(y, x)$ for $x, y \in D$, and $G \in L^2(D \times D)$. Also, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $G_{\Lambda, m}$ the Green function of Λ^m . Thus, from (4.2), using an induction argument, we conclude that $\hat{U}^m = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\Delta_j} \Lambda^{m-j+1} \widehat{W}(\tau, \cdot) d\tau$ for $m = 1, \dots, M$, which is written, equivalently, as follows:

$$\hat{U}^m(x) = \int_0^{\tau_m} \int_D \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_m(\tau; x, y) \widehat{W}(\tau, y) dy d\tau \quad \forall x \in \bar{D}, \quad m = 1, \dots, M, \quad (4.7)$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_m(\tau; x, y) := \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{X}_{\Delta_j}(\tau) G_{\Lambda, m-j+1}(x, y) \quad \forall \tau \in [0, T], \quad \forall x, y \in D$.

Let $m \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ and $\mathcal{E}^m := \mathbb{E}[\|\hat{U}^m - \hat{u}(\tau_m, \cdot)\|_{0,D}^2]$. First, we use (4.7), (1.6), (2.9), (2.6), (2.5) and (2.8), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^m &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_D \left(\int_0^{\tau_m} \int_D \mathcal{X}_{(0, \tau_m)}(\tau) [\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_m(\tau; x, y) - G(\tau_m - \tau; x, y)] \widehat{W}(\tau, y) dy d\tau \right)^2 dx \right] \\ &\leq \int_0^{\tau_m} \left(\int_D \int_D [\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_m(\tau; x, y) - G(\tau_m - \tau; x, y)]^2 dy dx \right) d\tau \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_{\Delta_\ell} \left(\int_D \int_D [G_{\Lambda, m-\ell+1}(x, y) - G(\tau_m - \tau; x, y)]^2 dy dx \right) d\tau. \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_{\Delta_\ell} \|\Lambda^{m-\ell+1} - \mathcal{S}(\tau_m - \tau)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \mathcal{B}_A^m + \mathcal{B}_B^m, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_A^m &:= 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_{\Delta_\ell} \|\Lambda^{m-\ell+1} - \mathcal{S}(\tau_m - \tau_{\ell-1})\|_{\text{HS}}^2 d\tau, \\ \mathcal{B}_B^m &:= 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_{\Delta_\ell} \|\mathcal{S}(\tau_m - \tau_{\ell-1}) - \mathcal{S}(\tau_m - \tau)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Estimation of \mathcal{B}_A^m : By the definition of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_A^m &\leq 2 \Delta\tau \sum_{\ell=1}^m \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \|\Lambda^{m-\ell+1} \varepsilon_\alpha - \mathcal{S}(\tau_m - \tau_{\ell-1}) \varepsilon_\alpha\|_{0,D}^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^m \Delta\tau \|\Lambda^{m-\ell+1} \varepsilon_\alpha - \mathcal{S}(\tau_m - \tau_{\ell-1}) \varepsilon_\alpha\|_{0,D}^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^m \Delta\tau \|\Lambda^\ell \varepsilon_\alpha - \mathcal{S}(\tau_\ell) \varepsilon_\alpha\|_{0,D}^2 \right).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\theta \in [0, \frac{4-d}{8}]$ and $\epsilon = \frac{4-d}{8} - \theta$. Using the deterministic error estimate (4.5) and (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_A^m &\leq C \Delta\tau^{2\theta} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \|\varepsilon_\alpha\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{4\theta-2}}^2 \\ &\leq C \Delta\tau^{2\theta} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \lambda_\alpha^{4\theta-2} \\ &\leq C \Delta\tau^{2\theta} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{|\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}^{4(1-2\theta)}} \\ &\leq C \Delta\tau^{2\theta} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{|\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}^{d+8\epsilon}} \\ &\leq C \epsilon^{-1} \Delta\tau^{2(\frac{4-d}{8}-\epsilon)}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

Estimation of \mathcal{B}_B^m : Using, again, the definition of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm we have

$$\mathcal{B}_B^m = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_{\Delta_\ell} \|\mathcal{S}(\tau_m - \tau_{\ell-1}) \varepsilon_\alpha - \mathcal{S}(\tau_m - \tau) \varepsilon_\alpha\|_{0,D}^2 d\tau \right).\tag{4.10}$$

Since $\mathcal{S}(t)\varepsilon_\alpha = e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 t} \varepsilon_\alpha$ for $t \geq 0$, (4.10) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_B^m &= 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left[\sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_{\Delta_\ell} \left(\int_D \left[e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(\tau_m - \tau_{\ell-1})} - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(\tau_m - \tau)} \right]^2 \varepsilon_\alpha^2(x) dx \right) d\tau \right] \\ &= 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left[\sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_{\Delta_\ell} e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(\tau_m - \tau)} \left[1 - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(\tau - \tau_{\ell-1})} \right]^2 d\tau \right] \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} (1 - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta\tau})^2 \left[\int_0^{\tau_m} e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(\tau_m - \tau)} d\tau \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2 \Delta\tau}}{\lambda_\alpha^2},\end{aligned}$$

from which, applying (2.11), we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}_B^m \leq C p_d (\Delta\tau^{\frac{1}{4}}) \Delta\tau^{\frac{4-d}{4}}.\tag{4.11}$$

Thus, we obtain the estimate (4.6) as a conclusion of (4.8), (4.9) and (4.11). \square

5. Convergence of the fully-discrete approximations

In this section, our goal is to derive a discrete in time $L_t^\infty(L_p^2(L_x^2))$ error estimate for the Backward Euler fully-discrete approximations of \widehat{u} given in (1.7)–(1.8). For that, we follow the way to compare them to the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations of \widehat{u} defined in (4.1)–(4.2), under the light of the error estimate obtained in Theorem 7.

Our first step, is to derive a discrete in time $L_t^2(L_x^2)$ error estimate between the Backward Euler time-discrete and the Backward Euler fully discrete approximations of the solution w of (1.3) given below: Set

$$W_h^0 := P_h w_0, \quad (5.1)$$

and then, for $m = 1, \dots, M$, find $W_h^m \in M_h$ such that

$$W_h^m - W_h^{m-1} + \Delta\tau B_h W_h^m = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Proposition 8. *Let $r \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, w be the solution of the problem (1.3), $(W^m)_{m=0}^M$ be the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations of w defined in (4.3)–(4.4), and $(W_h^m)_{m=0}^M$ be the Backward Euler fully-discrete approximations of w specified in (5.1)–(5.2). If $w_0 \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^3(D)$, then, there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of T , h and $\Delta\tau$, such that*

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau \|W^m - W_h^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C h^{\nu(r,\theta)} \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^\xi(r,\theta)} \quad \forall \theta \in [0, 1], \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$\nu(r, \theta) := \begin{cases} 2\theta & \text{if } r = 2 \\ 4\theta & \text{if } r = 3 \\ 5\theta & \text{if } r = 4 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi(r, \theta) := \begin{cases} 3\theta - 2 & \text{if } r = 2 \\ 4\theta - 2 & \text{if } r = 3 \\ 5\theta - 2 & \text{if } r = 4 \end{cases}. \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. Let $E^m := W^m - W_h^m$ for $m = 0, \dots, M$. We will get (5.3) by interpolation, showing it for $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = 1$.

We use (4.4) and (5.2), to obtain: $T_{B,h}(E^m - E^{m-1}) + \Delta\tau E^m = \Delta\tau (T_B - T_{B,h})\Delta^2 W^m$ for $m = 1, \dots, M$. Taking the $L^2(D)$ –inner product of both sides of the latter equation by E^m and using (2.21), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(T_{B,h}E^m)\|_{0,D}^2 - (\Delta(T_{B,h}E^{m-1}), \Delta(T_{B,h}E^m))_{0,D} \\ + \Delta\tau \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 = \Delta\tau ((T_B - T_{B,h})\Delta^2 W^m, E^m)_{0,D} \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

for $m = 1, \dots, M$. Now, using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the geometric mean inequality we obtain

$$-2(\Delta(T_{B,h}E^{m-1}), \Delta(T_{B,h}E^m))_{0,D} \geq -(\|\Delta(T_{B,h}E^{m-1})\|_{0,D}^2 + \|\Delta(T_{B,h}E^m)\|_{0,D}^2) \quad (5.6)$$

for $m = 1, \dots, M$. Next, we combine (5.5) and (5.6) to conclude

$$\|\Delta(T_{B,h}E^m)\|_{0,D}^2 - \|\Delta(T_{B,h}E^{m-1})\|_{0,D}^2 + 2\Delta\tau \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 \leq 2\Delta\tau ((T_B - T_{B,h})\Delta^2 W^m, E^m)_{0,D}$$

for $m = 1, \dots, M$. Summing with respect to m from 1 up to M , applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and using that $T_{B,h}E^0 = 0$, we obtain

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 \leq \sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau \|(T_B - T_{B,h})\Delta^2 W^m\|_{0,D}^2. \quad (5.7)$$

Let $r = 3$. Then, by (2.24) and (5.7), we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C h^4 \left(\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau \|\Delta^2 W^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.8)$$

Taking the $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0,D}$ -inner product of (4.4) with $\Delta^2 W^m$, and then integrating by parts and summing with respect to m from 1 up to M , it follows that

$$\sum_{m=1}^M (\Delta W^m - \Delta W^{m-1}, \Delta W^m)_{0,D} + \sum_{m=1}^M \Delta \tau \|\Delta^2 W^m\|_{0,D}^2 = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Since $\sum_{m=1}^M (\Delta W^m - \Delta W^{m-1}, \Delta W^m)_{0,D} \geq \frac{1}{2} (\|\Delta W^M\|_{0,D}^2 - \|\Delta W^0\|_{0,D}^2)$, (5.9) yields

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta \tau \|\Delta^2 W^m\|_{0,D}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|w_0\|_{2,D}^2. \quad (5.10)$$

Combining, now, (5.8), (5.10) and (2.3), we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta \tau \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C h^4 \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^2}. \quad (5.11)$$

Let $r = 2$. Then, by (2.24), (2.4) and (5.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta \tau \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq C h^2 \left(\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta \tau \|\Delta^2 W^m\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{-1}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C h^2 \left[- \sum_{m=1}^M \Delta \tau (T_E \Delta^2 W^m, \Delta^2 W^m)_{0,D} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C h^2 \left[- \sum_{m=1}^M \Delta \tau (\Delta W^m, \Delta^2 W^m)_{0,D} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Taking the $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0,D}$ -inner product of (4.4) with ΔW^m , integrating by parts and summing with respect to m from 1 up to M , it follows that

$$\sum_{m=1}^M (\nabla W^m - \nabla W^{m-1}, \nabla W^m)_{0,D} - \sum_{m=1}^M \Delta \tau (\Delta^2 W^m, \Delta W^m)_{0,D} = 0. \quad (5.13)$$

Since $\sum_{m=1}^M (\nabla W^m - \nabla W^{m-1}, \nabla W^m)_{0,D} \geq \frac{1}{2} [\|\nabla W^M\|_{0,D}^2 - \|\nabla W^0\|_{0,D}^2]$, (5.13) yields

$$- \sum_{m=1}^M \Delta \tau (\Delta^2 W^m, \Delta W^m)_{0,D} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|w_0\|_{1,D}^2. \quad (5.14)$$

Combining (5.12), (5.14) and (2.3) we get

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta \tau \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C h^2 \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1}. \quad (5.15)$$

Let $r = 4$. Then, observing that $\Delta^2 W^m \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^2(D)$ and using the relations (2.24), (2.4) and (5.7), we

obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq C h^5 \left(\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau \|\Delta^2 W^m\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C h^5 \left(\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau \|\Delta^3 W^m\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{-1}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C h^5 \left[- \sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau (T_E \Delta^3 W^m, \Delta^3 W^m)_{0,D} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C h^5 \left[- \sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau (\Delta^2 W^m, \Delta^3 W^m)_{0,D} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

After, applying the operator Δ on (4.4), take the $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0,D}$ -inner product of the obtained relation with $\Delta^2 W^m$, integrate by parts and sum with respect to m from 1 up to M , to get

$$- \sum_{m=1}^M (\Delta W^m - \Delta W^{m-1}, \Delta^2 W^m)_{0,D} - \sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau (\Delta^3 W^m, \Delta^2 W^m)_{0,D} = 0. \tag{5.17}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
- \sum_{m=1}^M (\Delta W^m - \Delta W^{m-1}, \Delta^2 W^m)_{0,D} &\geq \sum_{m=1}^M (\|\Delta W^m\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1}^2 - \|\Delta W^m\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1} \|\Delta W^{m-1}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1}) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M (\|\Delta W^m\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1}^2 - \|\Delta W^{m-1}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1}^2) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} (\|\Delta W^M\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1}^2 - \|\Delta W^0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

Thus, (5.17) and (5.18) yield

$$- \sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau (\Delta^3 W^m, \Delta^2 W^m)_{0,D} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^3}^2. \tag{5.19}$$

Combining (5.16) and (5.19) we get

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C h^5 \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^3}. \tag{5.20}$$

Thus, the relations (5.11), (5.15) and (5.20) yield (5.3) for $\theta = 1$.

Since $T_{B,h}(W_h^m - W_h^{m-1}) + \Delta\tau W_h^m = 0$ for $m = 1, \dots, M$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M [\|\Delta(T_{B,h} W_h^m)\|_{0,D}^2 - \|\Delta(T_{B,h} W_h^{m-1})\|_{0,D}^2] + \sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau \|W_h^m\|_{0,D}^2 \leq 0,$$

which, along with (2.22) and (2.4), yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau \|W_h^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\Delta(T_{B,h} w_0)\|_{0,D} \\
&\leq C \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{-2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

Now, using (4.4) and (2.17), we obtain $(T_E W^m - T_E W^{m-1}, T_E W^m)_{0,D} + \Delta\tau \|W^m\|_{0,D}^2 = 0$ for $m = 1, \dots, M$, which yields $\|T_E W^m\|_{0,D}^2 - \|T_E W^{m-1}\|_{0,D}^2 + 2\Delta\tau \|W^m\|_{0,D}^2 \leq 0$ for $m = 1, \dots, M$. Then, summing with respect to m from 1 up to M , and using (2.13) and (2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k=1}^M \Delta\tau \|W^k\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|T_E w^0\|_{0,D} \\ &\leq C \|w_0\|_{-2,D} \\ &\leq C \|w_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^{-2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

Finally, combine (5.21) with (5.22) to get $(\sum_{m=1}^M \Delta\tau \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|w_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^{-2}}$, which is equivalent to (5.3) for $\theta = 0$. \square

The following lemma ensures the existence of a continuous Green function for the solution operator of a discrete elliptic problem.

Lemma 9. *Let $r \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, $\epsilon > 0$, $f \in L^2(D)$ and $\psi_h \in M_h$ such that*

$$\epsilon B_h \psi_h + \psi_h = P_h f. \quad (5.23)$$

Then there exists a function $G_{h,\epsilon} \in C(\overline{D} \times \overline{D})$ such that

$$\psi_h(x) = \int_D G_{h,\epsilon}(x, y) f(y) dy \quad \forall x \in \overline{D} \quad (5.24)$$

and $G_{h,\epsilon}(x, y) = G_{h,\epsilon}(y, x)$ for $x, y \in \overline{D}$.

Proof. Let $\dim(M_h) = n_h$ and $\gamma_h : M_h \times M_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an inner product on M_h given by $\gamma_h(\chi_A, \chi_B) := (\Delta\chi_A, \Delta\chi_B)_{0,D}$ for $\chi_A, \chi_B \in M_h$. We can construct a basis $(\chi_j)_{j=1}^{n_h}$ of M_h which is $L^2(D)$ -orthonormal, i.e., $(\chi_i, \chi_j)_{0,D} = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n_h$, and γ_h -orthogonal, i.e., there are $(\lambda_{h,\ell})_{\ell=1}^{n_h} \subset (0, +\infty)$ such that $\gamma_h(\chi_i, \chi_j) = \lambda_{h,i} \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n_h$ (see Section 8.7 in [9]). Thus, there are $(\mu_j)_{j=1}^{n_h} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi_h = \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} \mu_j \chi_j$, and (5.23) is equivalent to $\mu_i = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon\lambda_{h,i}} (f, \chi_i)_{0,D}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n_h$. Finally, we obtain (5.24) with $G_{h,\epsilon}(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} \frac{\chi_j(x)\chi_j(y)}{1+\epsilon\lambda_{h,j}}$. \square

We are ready to compare, in the discrete in time $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ norm, the time-discrete with the fully-discrete Backward Euler approximations of \hat{u} .

Proposition 10. *Let $r \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, \hat{u} be the solution of the problem (1.5), $(\widehat{U}_h^m)_{m=0}^M$ be the Backward Euler fully-discrete approximations of \hat{u} specified in (1.7)-(1.8), and $(\widehat{U}^m)_{m=0}^M$ be the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations of \hat{u} specified in (4.1)-(4.2). Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of Δx , Δt , h and $\Delta\tau$, such that*

$$\max_{1 \leq m \leq M} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\|\widehat{U}_h^m - \widehat{U}^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} h^{\nu_\star(r,d)-\epsilon}, \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \nu_\star(r,d)) \quad (5.25)$$

where

$$\nu_\star(r,d) := \begin{cases} \frac{4-d}{3} & \text{if } r = 2 \\ \frac{4-d}{2} & \text{if } r = 3, 4 \end{cases}. \quad (5.26)$$

Proof. Let $I : L^2(D) \rightarrow L^2(D)$ be the identity operator and $\Lambda_h : L^2(D) \rightarrow S_h^r$ be the inverse discrete elliptic operator given by $\Lambda_h := (I + \Delta\tau B_h)^{-1} P_h$ and having a Green function $G_{h,\Delta\tau}$ (cf. Lemma 9). Also, for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $G_{h,\Delta\tau,\ell}$ the Green function of Λ_h^ℓ . Using, now, an induction argument, from (1.8) we conclude that $\widehat{U}_h^m = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\Delta_j} \Lambda_h^{m-j+1} \widehat{W}(\tau, \cdot) d\tau$, $m = 1, \dots, M$, which is written, equivalently, as follows:

$$\widehat{U}_h^m(x) = \int_0^{\tau_m} \int_D \widehat{D}_{h,m}(\tau; x, y) \widehat{W}(\tau, y) dy d\tau \quad \forall x \in \overline{D}, \quad m = 1, \dots, M, \quad (5.27)$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{h,m}(\tau; x, y) := \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{X}_{\Delta_j}(\tau) G_{h,\Delta\tau,m-j+1}(x, y) \quad \forall \tau \in [0, T], \quad \forall x, y \in D.$$

Using (4.7), (5.27), the Itô-isometry property of the stochastic integral (2.6), (2.5) and (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\widehat{U}^m - \widehat{U}_h^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right] &\leq \int_0^{\tau_m} \left(\int_D \int_D [\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_m(\tau; x, y) - \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{h,m}(\tau; x, y)]^2 dy dx \right) d\tau \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\Delta_j} \|\Lambda^{m-j+1} - \Lambda_h^{m-j+1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 d\tau, \quad m = 1, \dots, M, \end{aligned}$$

where Λ is the inverse elliptic operator defined in the proof of Theorem 7. Now, we use the definition of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm and the deterministic error estimate (5.3), to have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\|\widehat{U}^m - \widehat{U}_h^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right] &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \Delta\tau \left[\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \|\Lambda^{m-j+1} \varepsilon_\alpha - \Lambda_h^{m-j+1} \varepsilon_\alpha\|_{0,D}^2 \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \Delta\tau \|\Lambda^j \varepsilon_\alpha - \Lambda_h^j \varepsilon_\alpha\|_{0,D}^2 \right] \\ &\leq C h^{2\nu(r,\theta)} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \|\varepsilon_\alpha\|_{\mathbf{H}^\xi(r,\theta)}^2, \quad m = 1, \dots, M, \quad \forall \theta \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we arrive at

$$\max_{1 \leq m \leq M} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\|\widehat{U}^m - \widehat{U}_h^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C h^{\nu(r,\theta)} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} |\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}^{2\xi(r,\theta)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall \theta \in [0, 1],$$

from which, requiring $-2\xi(r,\theta) > d$ and using (2.10), (5.25), easily, follows. \square

The available error estimates allow us to conclude a discrete in time $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ convergence of the Backward Euler fully-discrete approximations of \widehat{u} , over a uniform partition of $[0, T]$.

Theorem 11. *Let $r \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, $\nu_*(r, d)$ be defined by (5.26), \widehat{u} be the solution of problem (1.5), and $(\widehat{U}_h^m)_{m=0}^M$ be the Backward Euler fully-discrete approximations of \widehat{u} constructed by (1.7)-(1.8). Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of $T, h, \Delta\tau, \Delta t$ and Δx , such that*

$$\max_{0 \leq m \leq M} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[\|\widehat{U}_h^m - \widehat{u}(\tau_m, \cdot)\|_{0,D}^2 \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left[\widetilde{\omega}(\Delta\tau, \epsilon_1) \Delta\tau^{\frac{4-d}{8}-\epsilon_1} + \epsilon_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} h^{\nu_*(r,d)-\epsilon_2} \right], \quad (5.28)$$

for $\epsilon_1 \in (0, \frac{4-d}{8}]$ and $\epsilon_2 \in (0, \nu_*(r, d)]$ where $\widetilde{\omega}(\Delta\tau, \epsilon_1) := \epsilon_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (\Delta\tau)^{\epsilon_1} (p_d(\Delta\tau^{\frac{1}{4}}))^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. The estimate is a simple consequence of the error bounds (5.25) and (4.6). \square

Remark 3. Let us find the optimal value for the parameters ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 in (5.28) and for parameter ϵ in (3.1). Let $g(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta^{-\epsilon}$ for $\epsilon \in (0, \gamma]$ where $\gamma, \delta \in (0, 1)$. Then, a simple calculation yields

$$g'(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} \delta^{-\epsilon} (\epsilon - \widetilde{\epsilon}(\delta)) (\epsilon + \widetilde{\epsilon}(\delta)), \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \gamma],$$

where $\widetilde{\epsilon}(\delta) := 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\log(\delta)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \widetilde{\epsilon}(\delta) = 0$, there exists $\delta_\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that $\widetilde{\epsilon}(\delta) \in (0, \gamma)$ for $\delta \in (0, \delta_\gamma]$. Now, assuming that $\delta \in (0, \delta_\gamma]$, we conclude that

$$\min_{\epsilon \in (0, \gamma]} g(\epsilon) = g(\widetilde{\epsilon}(\delta)) = 2^{\frac{1}{4}} |\log(\delta)|^{\frac{1}{4}} \delta^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{|\log(\delta)|}}.$$

Thus, assuming that h and $\Delta\tau$ are small enough, and setting $\epsilon_1 = \tilde{\epsilon}(\Delta\tau)$ and $\epsilon_2 = \tilde{\epsilon}(h)$, the error estimate (5.28) is written in the form

$$O\left(\Delta\tau^{\frac{4-d}{8}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{|\log(\Delta\tau)|}}}\left|\log(\Delta\tau)\right|^{\frac{1}{4}}+h^{\nu_*(r,d)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{|\log(h)|}}}\left|\log(h)\right|^{\frac{1}{4}}\right).$$

Proceeding in a similar way, the error bound (3.1) is written as

$$O\left(\Delta t^{\frac{4-d}{8}}+\Delta x^{\frac{4-d}{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{|\log(\Delta x)|}}}\left|\log(\Delta x)\right|^{\frac{1}{4}}\right).$$

Remark 4. The solution u of (1.1) is β -Hölder in t and β' -Hölder in x with $\beta < \frac{4-d}{8}$ and $\beta' < \frac{4-d}{2}$ (see, e.g., [5], [10]). This is the reason why the expected order of convergence in time and space, are respectively β and β' . According to Theorem 11, the expected order of convergence in time is achieved and the expected order of convergence in space is also achieved when $r = 3, 4$. For $r = 2$, the order of convergence in space is lower and an explanation for that is the fact that the order of convergence in the $L^2(D)$ -norm of the finite element method for the biharmonic problem is equal to 2 and not equal to $r + 1 = 3$ as it is for $r = 3, 4$ (see Proposition 4). The expected order of convergence in time and in space are also obtained in [4] and [21] for other type of numerical methods.

References

- [1] E.J. Allen, S.J. Novosel and Z. Zhang. Finite element and difference approximation of some linear stochastic partial differential equations, *Stoch. Stoch. Rep.*, 64(1-2):117-142, 1998.
- [2] L. Bin. *Numerical method for a parabolic stochastic partial differential equation*, Master Thesis 2004-03, Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden, June 2004.
- [3] S. C. Brenner and L. R. Scott. *The Mathematical Theory of Finite Element Methods*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [4] C. Cardon-Weber. *Implicit approximation scheme for the Cahn-Hilliard stochastic equation*, PMA 613, Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modèles Aléatoires, CNRS U.M.R. 7599, Universités Paris VI et VII, Paris, France, 2000.
- [5] C. Cardon-Weber. Cahn-Hilliard equation: existence of the solution and of its density, *Bernoulli*, 7(5):777-816, 2001.
- [6] H. Cook. Brownian motion in spinodal decomposition, *Acta Metall.*, 18(3):297-306, 1970.
- [7] P.G. Ciarlet. *The finite element methods for elliptic problems*, North-Holland, New York, 1987.
- [8] W. Grecksch and P.E. Kloeden. Time-discretised Galerkin approximations of parabolic stochastic PDEs, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 54(1):79-85, 1996.
- [9] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan. *Matrix Computations*, Second Edition, The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 1989.
- [10] G. Da Prato and A. Debussche. Stochastic Cahn-Hilliard equation, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 26(2):241-263, 1996.
- [11] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz. *Linear Operators. Part II. Spectral Theory. Self Adjoint Operators in Hilbert Space*, Reprint of the 1963 original, Wiley Classics Library, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988.
- [12] K.R. Elder, T.M. Rogers and R.C. Desai. Numerical study of the late stages of spinodal decomposition, *Phys. Rev. B*, 37(16):9638-9649, 1987.

- [13] C.M. Elliott and Z. Songmu. On the Cahn-Hilliard equation, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 96(4):339-357, 1986.
- [14] E. Hausenblas. Numerical analysis of semilinear stochastic evolution equations in Banach spaces, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 147(2):485-516, 2002.
- [15] E. Hausenblas. Approximation for Semilinear Stochastic Evolution Equations, *Potential Anal.*, 18(2):141-186, 2003.
- [16] G. Kallianpur and J. Xiong. *Stochastic Differential Equations in Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Lecture Notes-Monograph Series vol. 26, Hayward, California, 1995.
- [17] L. Kielhorn and M. Muthukumar. Spinodal decomposition of symmetric diblock copolymer homopolymer blends at the lifshitz point, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 110(8):4079-4089, 1999.
- [18] P.E. Kloeden and S. Shot. Linear-implicit strong schemes for Itô-Galerkin approximations of stochastic PDEs, *J. Appl. Math. Stoch. Anal.*, 14(1):47-53, 2001.
- [19] G.T. Kossioris and G.E. Zouraris. Fully-discrete finite element approximations for a fourth-order linear stochastic parabolic equation with additive space-time white noise: II. 2D and 3D Case, *arXiv:0906.1828*.
- [20] G.T. Kossioris and G.E. Zouraris. Fully-discrete finite element approximations for a fourth-order linear stochastic parabolic equation with additive space-time white noise, *ESAIM: M2AN*, 44(2):289-322, 2010.
- [21] S. Larsson and A. Mesforush. *Finite element approximation of the linearized Cahn-Hilliard-Cook equation*, Preprint 2009:20, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden, 2009.
- [22] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes. *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications Vol. I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg, 1972.
- [23] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences 44, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [24] J. Printems. On the discretization in time of parabolic stochastic partial differential equations, *ESAIM: M2AN*, 35(6):1055-1078, 2001.
- [25] V. Thomée. *Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems*, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics 25, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1997.
- [26] Y. Yan. Galerkin finite element methods for stochastic parabolic partial differential equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 43(4):1363-1384, 2005.
- [27] J.B. Walsh. *An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations*, in Lecture Notes in Mathematics no. 1180, 265-439, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1986.
- [28] J.B. Walsh. Finite element methods for parabolic stochastic PDEs, *Potential Anal.*, 23(1):1-43, 2005.